Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/829,486	HIBLAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn Odland	3743
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kathryn Odland.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ryan Dodge</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) - Bean (US 5,964,004) in view of Minton (US 5,457,841)		
Claims discussed: 1, 27 and 33		
Prior art documents discussed: Bean (US 5,964,004), Minton (US 5,457,841) and Hilal (US 5,411,509)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Kathara Adland		
(Examiner/\$PE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to amend claim 1 to more clearly define the invention in order to define over the prior art. Applicant agreed to incorporate the limitation "portions of the flexible annular disk are rotated approximately 90 degrees from an unfolded configuration."

Supervisory/Farent Examiner